

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,756	01/16/2002	Margit Doerr	01/010 NUT	7841
7:	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
PROPAT, L.I 425-C. SOUTH	C. I SHARON AMITY RO	DAD.	WONG, LESLIE A	
CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/050,756	DOERR ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leslie Wong	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-		
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendme	nt		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the)		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:	,				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
		Leslie Wong			
·		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/050,756

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to "wherein said at least one xylooligosaccharide is not xylose" raises new issues that would require further consideration and search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the reasons of record.